Pinguicula lutea Walt. f. alba, f. nov. (Lentibulariaceae) a White-Flowered Form of the Yellow Butterwort

GEORGE W. FOLKERTS and JOHN D. FREEMAN

ABSTRACT

County, Florida. and Freeman. Thus far, the form is known only from the Appalachicola region, Liberty A white-flowered form of Finguicula lutea Walt, is described as f. alba Folkerts

INTRODUCTION

able causes of this relatively continuous variation. represents the end of a spectrum of variants ranging from the typical color to white. Additive inheritance factors and environmental influences are the prob flower color is essentially white, but in the majority of cases whiteness merely flowers that are some shade of blue or purple. Variants occur in which the Most of the North American species of the genus Pinguicula L. possess

without such forms having been recognized either formally or informally. planifolia Chapm. (with lavender flowers) and P. lutea Walt. (with yellow flowers) tioned white variants in four of the six southeastern species, leaving only P. America. Godfrey and Stripling (1961) and Godfrey and Wooten (1981) menthe presence of white-flowered specimens in P. villosa L. of boreal North Michx. (Moldenke 1973) and P. caerulea Walt. (Schnell 1980). Ernst (1961) noted White-flowered forms have been given formal names in Pinguicula pumila

_constant and conspicuous, the only variation being in the presence or absence of brown venation in the corolla tube." Casper (1966) mentioned no significant variation in flower color. Godfrey and Wooten (1981), following many years of variable. Wood and Godfrey (1957) stated that the flower color of P. lutea "is in which a number of plants bore only pure white flowers. This new form is presence of pale "straw-colored" flowers in specimens from northern Florida. In March, 1981, a colony of P. lutea was discovered in Liberty County, Florida, field research, reported no white variants. However, Schnell (1980) noted the The flower color of Pinguicula lutea has been thought to be relatively in-

DIAGNOSIS

floris albidis differt. Pinguicula lutea Walt, forma alba Folkerts et Freeman, f. nov., a f. luteo

ly 8 mi N Wilma, March 12, 1981, G.W. Folkerts, s.n. (AUA) Holotype: Florida, Liberty County, vicinity of Camel Lake, approximate

DISTRIBUTION: Known only from the type locality.

the holotype, the only white-flowered specimen of P. lutea known to have been ception of the calyx, however, are white. Newly pressed specimens of the typiand in those dried more slowly, the corolla becomes dark brown. The corolla of cal form retain the yellow corolla color when dried rapidly. In older specimens the size and shape of all vegetative and floral parts. Floral parts with the exbarium specimens alone. mination of white-flowered forms difficult or impossible on the basis of herpressed, assumed a light tan color upon drying. Such changes make deter-Plants of Pinguicula lutea forma alba are similar to the typical form in

quent seasons through 1986 from two to seven white-flowered plants have typical form. Six plants with white flowers were present in 1981. In subseupper slope of a moist roadside depression along with numerous plants of the been observed in the area. (Serenoa repens (Bartr.) Small). The white-flowered plants were growing on the longleaf pine (Pinus paiustris Mill.) with a ground cover of saw paimetto found lies in the Apalachicola flatwoods where the vegetation is dominated by The habitat in which the specimens of the white-flowered form were

cannot properly be considered to be an additional unique component or a relict plants. Among the narrowly-restricted species occurring in habitats similar to species range. flowered forms should not be expected and searched for in other parts of the pened to be discovered in the Apalachicola area. There is no reason that white type. This form undoubtedly originated from a mutation which merely hap-(Lamiaceae) (Clewell 1977, Ward 1978). However, Pinguicula lutea forma alba *Pinguicula ionantha* Godfrey (Lentibulariaceae), and *Macbridea alba* Chapman that of the white-flowered form are Harperocallis flava McDaniel (Lillaceae) 'The Apalachicola region of Florida is notable for its endemic flowering

and anonymous reviewers for valuable assistance. We thank Roland Dute, Debbie Folkerts, Curt Peterson, Ralph Mirarchi

LITERATURE CITED

CASPER, S.J. 1966. Monographie der Gattung Pinguicula L. Bibliotheca Botanica (Stuttgart) 127/128:1-207.

CLEWELL, A.F. 1977. Geobotany of the Apalachicola River region. Florida Marine Res Bull, 26:6-15.

Enner, A. 1961. Revision der Gattung Pinguicula. Bot. Jahrb. Syst. 80:145-194.

Godfrey, R.K. and H.L. Stripling. 1961. A synopsis of Pinguicula (Lentibulariaceae) in the southeastern United States, Amer. Midl. Naturalist 66:395-409

MOLDENKE, H.N. 1973. Notes on new and noteworthy plants. LX. Phytologia 26: GODFREY, R.K. and J.W. WOOTEN. 1981. Aquatic and wetland plants of southeastern United States, Dicotyledona, University of Georgia Press, Athens. 933 p. 224-226.

Schnert, D.E. 1980. Pinguicula caerulea Walt, forma leucantha: a new form. Castanea 45:56-60.

WARD, D.B. (ed.). 1978. Rare and endangered blota of Florida. Vol. 5. Plants. University Presses of Florida, Gainesville. 175 p.

Woop, O.E., JR. and R.K. Godrney, 1957. Pinguicula (Lentibulariaceae) in the southeastern United States, Rhodora 59:217-230.

J.D.F.:
DEPARTMENT OF BOTANY AND MICROBIOLOGY
AUBURN UNIVERSITY
AUBURN, ALABAMA 36849

Received December 28, 1987; Accepted September 12, 1988

CASTANEA 54(1): 43-48. MARCH 1989

Nomenclature and Distribution of Eupatorium x truncatum, with Comments on the Status of E. resinosum var.

kentuckiense (Asteraceae)

ARTHUR O. TUCKER and NORMAN H.. DILL

ABSTRACT

The synonymy of Eupatorium x truncatum, the hybrid of E. perfoliatum and E. serotinum, is discussed. Eupatorium resinosum var. kentuckiense is recognized as conspecific with E. x truncatum. A key is provided for E. serotinum, E. perfoliatum, E. resinosum, and E. x truncatum. A description and a summary of the distribution of E. x truncatum are provided.

INTRODUCTION

Eupatorium perfoliatum L. and E. serotinum Michx. show close karyological affinity to each other and to E. resinosum Torr. ex DC. with 2n=20 (Grant 1953; Sullivan 1972, 1976). Thus, hybrids of the former two species have been frequently reported (Godfrey and Wooten 1981, Johnson 1974, Sullivan 1972, Uttal and Mitchell 1969, Wunderlin 1972), and a hybrid of E. perfoliatum with E. resinosum is also recorded (Stone 1911).

Eupatorium resinosum (with historical and extant populations in Delaware, New Jersey, and North Carolina) is under review as a federally Endangered or Threatened species (Mowbray 1986), Eupatorium resinosum var. kentuckiensė Fern., if conspecific with E. resinosum, would represent a disjunct population in Kentucky. However, we recognize Fernald's taxon to be conspecific with E. x truncatum.

NOMENCLATURE

Eupatorium truncatum Muhl. ex Willd., Sp. pl. 3:1751, 1803 (lectotype: Will denow 15108, B, IDC microfichel).

Eupatorium perfoliatum L. yar. § Torr. & A. Gray, Fl. N. Amer. 2:88.

1841 (holotype: Torrey and Gray s.n. GHI).

Eupatorium cuneatum Engelm, in Torr. & A. Gray, Fl. N. Amer. 2:88.

Eupaforium perfoliatum L. var. truncatum (Willd.) A. Gray. Syn. Fl. N Amer. 1:99-1884 Eupaforium perfoliatum L. var. cuneatum (Engelm.) Engelm ex. A

Gray Syn Fl. Ne Amer 1:100,11884 (Charles of the Control of the Co

ARCH 1989